

Notes from 01.07.10 HBMWD Water Resource Planning Advisory Committee

Desired Outcomes

By the end of the meeting, the Water Resource Planning Advisory Committee will have ...

- An understanding of the status of current Action Items *(done)*
- An understanding of the Board's response to the Framework for Evaluating Water Resource Planning Options *(done)*
- Agreement on whether to open Advisory Committee meetings to the public *(done)*
- An understanding of the final agenda for the Water Workshop and agreement on the roles of the Advisory Committee, Citizen's Study Group, and Board in the workshop *(done)*
- Agreement on Info/Data that will help AC generate and evaluate options including the agenda for Jan. 20th meeting with David Aladjem and Paula Whealen *(done)*
- Agreement on plan to achieve outcomes of Generate and Evaluate Options Phases *(partially done)*
- Agreement on the agenda for second public meeting in Generate Options Phase (Feb. 1) *(done)*
- A list of comments regarding committee effectiveness and, as needed, agreement on changes want to make *(done)*

Agreements

- Offer to have AC members attend Board meetings regularly
- Board members can/are invited to participate in AC sub-committees going forward
- AC wants to continue their meeting process as is (meetings not open to public)
- At the Feb. 4th AC meeting, they will begin to work with the input gathered the Water Workshop and public meetings
- The AC will decide Feb. 4th whether to form sub-committees to develop options

Discussion about whether to open AC meetings to the public

- The HBMWD is concerned due to requirements of the Brown Act
- Would public be an impediment to the process? (e.g., take time from already packed agendas)
- Public will be included in future meetings for the next phases (i.e., three public meetings in Generate options, including the Water Workshop; and three public meetings in Evaluate Options Phase)
- Public is largely involved
- Minutes have been posted on the HBMWD web site. Need to continue this
- Risks Board discomfort with inconsistency in process?
- Beneficial to have AC members attend Board meetings regularly?
- Board members can participate in AC sub-committees going forward
- **Agreed:** AC would like to continue their meeting process as is

HBMWD Board's response to the Framework for Evaluating Water Resources Planning Options

- The Board...
 - Is uniformly grateful for the effort and work of the Advisory Committee
 - Questions how they can insert their input
 - Approved Framework with minor changes
 - Adopted the criteria 5-0
- One Director expressed interest in explicitly inserting "sustainability" in the criteria
- Board was trying to catch up with AC's 3-month process in an hour!
- Its important to stay ahead of the dynamic of people not trusting public process
- AC did their very best to take every comment and represent the public's feedback
- Does the Board trust what the AC is doing?
- Re-connect to the idea of stakeholder groups and make sure the Board knows work is being done by a diverse group (i.e., the AC)
- Do we need a process to make changes between the AC and Board?
- Board has final say so not necessary for back and forth
- Its risky for the Board to alter the Framework that is based on public feedback later in the process, i.e. once it goes "public" at the Water Workshop
- The AC should explain how the criteria contain "sustainability?"

Water Workshop – Agenda and Proposed Roles

- Questions regarding placement of David and Paula during the Q&A at 11:00. Mary will think about this
- Discussion of the logistics around presenters who are simultaneously table hosts (Mary will sort this out)
- Recruit Citizen's Study Group members as table hosts
- *** Slides must be in to Carol by Jan. 8 to coordinate content
- *** Final submissions turned in by Jan. 12 for duplication

Agenda for Feb. 1 Meetings: Generate Options

- AC members who will attend the meetings:
 - 3:00 - Jim Smith, Jacqueline Debets, Bill Thorington, Bruce Rupp
 - 5:30 – Bill Thorington, Bruce Rupp
 - Michelle Fuller, Dennis Mayo, and Sheri Woo are not sure which meeting they can attend

Agenda for Jan. 20th Meeting with David Aladjem and Paula Whealen

- Carol suggested the following as topics for the meeting:
 - Q & A in general
 - How the SWRCB works (Paula Whealen)
 - Developing strategies in time phases (David Aladjem)
- Add reflections from the Jan. 19th meeting

- What else does AC want to know?

Info/Data to Help AC Generate and Evaluate Options

- Complete the bar graph in *Discussion Document to “Get the Juices” Flowing* regarding water use and industry
- Information regarding the importance between the mainstem and salmonids (?)
- Projected infrastructure and maintenance costs
- Illustrate the gap between HBMWD income and costs projected into the future including cost of Capital Improvement Plan
- Implications of the expansion of the District’s boundaries for consideration of future possibilities
- What is the value of shipping or moving water out of the District (infrastructure, jobs, etc.)?

Closing

- Appreciate Kaitlin’s work to get Google Calendar up and running
- Grateful to all for stepping up and taking on tasks
- Thankful for such high quality, efficient work. Appreciate working with this Advisory Committee

Next Steps

What	Who	By When
Submit slides to Carol by 1/8/10 to coordinate content	All	Jan. 8, 2010
Final submissions for Water Workshop to Carol for duplication	All	COB Jan 13 (Jan. 12 if possible)
Post minutes to HBMWD web site	Carol	On going
Send example Glossary to Carol	Bill	Jan. 7
Time set WRP agenda items at HBMWD Board meetings (10 AM)	Carol	Jan. 14
Complete the bar graph regarding water use and industry	Carol	

Present at meeting: Jacqueline Debets, Michelle Fuller, Dennis Mayo, Dennis Mullins, Bruce Rupp, Jim Smith, Bill Thorington, Sheri Woo

Absent members: Verne Frost, David Lindberg, Pete Nichols, Kaitlin Sopoci-Belknap, Dave Varshock, Mark Wheatley

Staff: Carol Rische, Mary Gelinias, Kerry Topel