

# Feedback on the June, 2010 Water Resource Planning Public Meetings—McKinleyville June 1, 2010 (N = 10)

*How well did this Water Resource Planning public meeting achieve its outcomes?*

(Average = 4.65)

Not at all		Somewhat		Achieved them
1	2	3 (1)	4 (1) 4.5 (1)	5 (7)

*What was the most valuable aspect of this session?*

Process (6)

- Timeliness, organization; Finishing a very fine process that you started with the public; Well run; Well facilitated; The dialogue network created by using table “forums;” No pre-determined agenda or outcomes

Education (5)

- Information/education; Learning about the options being discussed; Having an opportunity to ask questions and get greater understanding of some of the proposals; Discussing the various options; Hearing and discussing the various pros and cons of the different options

Miscellaneous (1)

- Passion for solutions

*What worked about this session?*

Process (5)

- Break-outs worked (not over facilitation); Lots of public involvement! Great public involvement process in general. Thank you!; Table rotation and discussion with board and advisory members; Organization/methods

Education (3)

- Public informed, felt listened to; Background provided at beginning even though it was a quick overview it helped set the stage for the discussion; Giving the public options for which scenarios to focus on

Miscellaneous (3)

- Very professional; It all went well; It worked well

*Suggestions for future public processes?*

Publicity (4)

- More publicity for meeting times; Perhaps more public announcements so greater attendance and a broader representation; Don’t know how to get more of the public involved—on-line chat discussion? Blogs?; Apparent need for more advertizing to engage public participation

Miscellaneous (4)

- Nope; Keep up the good work; Great job; Thanks!