

Summary of Feedback on 09.02.09 HBMWD's Water Resource Planning Citizen's Study Group Session # 1 (N=27)

How well did this initial Citizen's Study Group session achieve its outcomes? (4.69 average)

Not at all		Somewhat		Achieved them
1	2	3	4 (8) 4.5 (1)	5 (18)

What was the most valuable aspect of this session?

- History of the district (7)
- Building consensus, "opportunity to hear commonalities in values and vision" (5)
- Good cross section of the community / diverse group (4)
- Building cohesiveness (3)
- Citizen's involvement (2)
- Mixing "led to a dissemination of ideas"(2)
- Learning other's perspectives (2)
- Sharing ideas in small groups and then sharing with the larger group (2)
- Accurate recording (1)
- Positive participation from all participants (1)
- Accurate information provided (1)
- HBMWD invaluable(1)

What worked about this session?

- Changing tables (13)
- Smaller groups (6)
- Process well designed "to address the problem / issue" (3)
- Diverse group (2)
- Community involvement (2)
- Good will (1)
- "Randoms" were great (1)
- Working together (1)
- Freedom of expression (1)
- Good expertise and leadership (1)
- Carol R. (1)
- Pace: casual and inclusive (1)
- Impressed with district's commitment and information (1)
- Friendly Advisory Committee (1)

Summary of Feedback (continued)

- Diverse ideas (1)
- Knowledgeable participants (1)

Suggestions for next one?

- Shorter (2 suggested meeting more often) (6)
- Food: varied from including protein in the food offered (1) to having a meal if meeting is 4-hours long (4)
- Is changing tables so much necessary? (1)
- More attendees (1)
- Keep it up! (1)
- Get info to the public (1)
- More background information (1)